

Testing for Diagnosis of Active or Latent Tuberculosis

Policy Number: AHS – G2063 – Testing for Diagnosis of Active or Latent Tuberculosis	Prior Policy Name and Number, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AHS –G2063-201670928 – Gamma Interferon Blood Test for Diagnosis of Latent Tuberculosis
Initial Presentation Date: 06/01/2021 Revision Date: N/A	

I. Policy Description

Infection by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb) results in a wide range of clinical presentations dependent upon the site of infection from classic signs and symptoms of pulmonary disease (cough >2 to 3 weeks' duration, lymphadenopathy, fevers, night sweats, weight loss) to silent infection with a complete absence of signs or symptoms (Lewinsohn et al., 2017).

Culture of Mtb is the gold standard for diagnosis as it is the most sensitive and provides an isolate for drug susceptibility testing and species identification (Bernardo, 2019). Nucleic acid amplification tests (NAAT) use polymerase chain reactions (PCR) to enable sensitive detection and identification of lowdensity infections (Pai, Flores, Hubbard, Riley, & Colford, 2004). Interferon-gamma release assays (IGRAs) are blood tests of cell-mediated immune response which measure T cell release of interferon (IFN)-gamma following stimulation by specific antigens such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* antigens (Lewinsohn et al., 2017; Dick Menzies, 2019) used to detect a cellular immune response to *M. tuberculosis* which would indicate latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) (Pai et al., 2014).

II. Related Policies

Policy Number	Policy Title

III. Indications and/or Limitations of Coverage

Application of coverage criteria is dependent upon an individual's benefit coverage at the time of the request. Medical Policy Statements do not ensure an authorization or payment of services. Please refer to the plan contract (often referred to as the Evidence of Coverage) for the service(s) referenced in the Medical Policy Statement. If there is a conflict between the Medical Policy Statement and the plan contract (i.e., Evidence of Coverage), then the plan contract (i.e., Evidence of Coverage) will be the controlling document used to make the determination.

Application of coverage criteria is dependent upon an individual's benefit coverage at the time of the request. If there is a conflict between this Policy and any relevant, applicable government policy [e.g. National Coverage Determinations (NCDs) for Medicare] for a particular member, then the government policy will be used to make the determination. For the most up-to-date Medicare policies and coverage, please visit their search website <http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/overview-and-quick-search.aspx?from2=search1.asp> or [the manual website](#)

1. An interferon gamma release assay (IGRA) **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA** to diagnose or screen for latent tuberculosis infection in:
 - a. individuals 5 years or older who are at risk for infection with *Mtb* based on clinical presentation or risk factors noted on screening evaluation.
 - b. individuals who are unlikely to be infected with *Mtb* when screening is obliged by law.
2. Acid fast bacilli (AFB) smear/stain **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA** for all suspected tuberculosis infections.
3. Culture and culture-based drug susceptibility testing of *Mycobacteria* spp. **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA** for all suspected tuberculosis infections.
4. Direct probe or amplified probe nucleic acid-based testing, including PCR, for the following **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA**:
 - a. *Mycobacteria* spp
 - b. *M. tuberculosis*
 - c. *M. avium intracellulare*
5. Molecular-based drug susceptibility testing **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA** for patients whose sputum is AFB smear positive or Hologic Amplified MTD positive and who meet one of the following criteria:
 - a. have been treated for tuberculosis in the past
 - b. were born in or have lived for at least 1 year in a foreign country with at least a moderate tuberculosis incidence (≥ 20 per 100 000) or a high primary MDR-TB prevalence ($\geq 2\%$)
 - c. are contacts of patients with MDR-TB
 - d. are HIV infected
6. Repeat drug susceptibility testing **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA** in the following situations:

- a. When sputum cultures remain positive after 3 months of treatment
 - b. When there is bacteriological reversion from negative to positive
7. Cell counts, protein, glucose, and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) concentrations of cerebrospinal, pleural, peritoneal, pericardial and other fluids **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA** in patients with pleural effusion, pericardial effusion, or ascites and suspected tuberculosis infection, respectively.
 8. Urine-based detection of mycobacterial cell wall glycolipid lipoarabinomannan (LAM) **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA** in HIV-infected patients with CD4 cell counts ≤ 100 cells/microL who have signs and symptoms of tuberculosis.
 9. A gamma interferon blood test **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA** to diagnose latent tuberculosis infection in healthy children < 5 years of age for whom it has been decided that diagnostic testing is warranted. Tuberculosis Skin Test is recommended.
 10. Gamma Interferon blood test **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA** for patients with active tuberculosis.
 11. The technique for quantification of nucleic acid includes both amplification and direct probes; therefore, simultaneous coding for both amplification or direct probes **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**.

The following does not meet coverage criteria due to a lack of available published scientific literature confirming that the test(s) is/are required and beneficial for the diagnosis and treatment of a patient's illness.

12. Quantitative nucleic acid testing for *Mycobacterium* spp, *M. tuberculosis*, and *M. avium intracellulare* **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
13. Whole genome sequencing of *Mycobacterium* spp. for detection of drug resistance **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
14. Genotyping of *Mycobacterium* spp **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
15. Adenosine deaminase (ADA) and interferon-gamma (IFN- γ) levels in cerebrospinal, pleural, peritoneal, pericardial and other fluids for the diagnosis of extrapulmonary TB **DO NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
16. Serum protein biomarkers or panels of biomarkers for the detection and diagnosis of TB disease **DO NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**.

IV. Scientific Background

Tuberculosis (TB) continues to be a major public health threat globally, causing an estimated 10.0 million new cases and 1.5 million deaths from TB in 2018 (WHO, 2016, 2019), with the emergence of multidrug resistant strains only adding to the threat (Dheda et al., 2014). The lungs are the primary site of infection by Mtb and subsequent TB disease. Onset of symptoms is usually gradual with a persistent cough being most frequently reported (95%) followed by the typical symptoms of fever (75%), night sweats (45%) and weight loss (55%) (Heemskerk, Caws, Marais, & Farrar, 2015). Clinical manifestations include primary TB, reactivation TB, laryngeal TB, endobronchial TB, lower lung field TB infection, and tuberculoma (Bernardo, 2019). Extrapulmonary infection represents approximately 20% of cases of active TB with an additional 7% having concurrent pulmonary and extrapulmonary infections (Peto, Pratt, Harrington, LoBue, & Armstrong, 2009).

In most individuals, initial *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection is eliminated, or contained by host defenses, while infection remains latent (Barry et al., 2009; Dheda, Schwander, Zhu, van Zyl-Smit, & Zhang, 2010). Persons with latent TB infection (LTBI) are considered to be asymptomatic and not infectious; however, latent Mtb bacilli may remain viable and reactivate to cause active, contagious infection. Identification and treatment of LTBI are important TB control strategies, especially in settings with a low TB incidence, where reactivation of LTBI often accounts for the majority of nonimported TB disease (ATS, 2000; Landry & Menzies, 2008; Pai et al., 2014).

Latent TB Testing (LTBI)

The goal of testing for LTBI is to identify individuals who are at increased risk for the development of tuberculosis (TB) and therefore who would benefit from treatment of latent TB infection. Only those who would benefit from treatment should be tested so a decision to test presupposes a decision to treat if the test is positive (Dick Menzies, 2019).

Analytical validity

Mycobacterial infection results in a predominantly cell-mediated immune response (Daniel, 1980). Skin testing (TST) has long been a convenient, cost-effective method for assessing cell-mediated immune responses to a variety of antigens (Snider, 1982) and has been the “gold standard” for diagnostic screening for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infections. However, multiple factors challenge the accuracy of the skin test, including skill requirements for and variability in placement and reading, cross-reactivity, and underlying illness or immunosuppression (Daniel, 1980; Snider, 1982). The sensitivity of the TST is approximately 71%–82% (Francis et al., 1978; Katial et al., 2001; Lewinsohn et al., 2017).

The cell-mediated immune response to *M. tuberculosis* involves production of gamma interferon (IFN- γ) (Fenton et al., 1997). Interferon-gamma release assays (IGRAs), which are in-vitro culture assays measuring IFN- γ production in response to tuberculin antigen stimulation, have been developed as diagnostic screening tests (Katial et al., 2001; Lein & Von Reyn, 1997) IGRAs have specificity >95% for diagnosis of latent TB infection and a sensitivity of 80-90% (D. Menzies, Pai, & Comstock, 2007; Pai et al., 2014). The two commercially available IGRAs are the QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube (QFT-GIT) assay and T-SPOT.TB assay. Both assays are FDA-approved and available worldwide. These tests are

not used to diagnose an active infection (as active infections are microbiologic diagnoses), but they still provide use as a confirmatory test for the TST (Dick Menzies, 2019).

Clinical Validity and Utility

Diel et al. (2012) performed a meta-analysis investigating the “positive and the negative predictive value (PPV and NPV, respectively) from a test-determined LTBI state for progression to active TB of interferon- γ release assays (IGRAs) and the tuberculin skin test (TST)”. The authors found that the “pooled PPV for progression for all studies using commercial IGRAs was 2.7% compared with 1.5% for the TST.” PPV was found to increase to 6.8% and 2.4% respectively when only high-risk groups were included. The authors concluded that “Commercial IGRAs have a higher PPV and NPV for progression to active TB compared with those of the TST(Diel et al., 2012).”

Ruan et al. (2016) further assessed the “diagnostic value of interferon- γ release assays (IGRAs) for latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) in patients with rheumatic disease before receiving biologic agents.” 11 studies (n = 1940) were included. The authors found that “compared with the tuberculin skin test (TST), the pooled agreements in QFT-G/GIT and T-SPOT.TB were 72% and 75%, respectively. BCG vaccination was positively correlated with positive rates of TST (pooled odds ratio [OR] 1.64). Compared with TST, IGRAs were better associated with the presence of one or more tuberculosis (TB) risk factors.” The authors concluded that “in rheumatic patients with previous BCG vaccination or currently on steroid therapy, IGRAs would be the better choice to identify LTBI by decreasing the false-positivity and false-negativity rate compared with conventional TST (Ruan et al., 2016).”

Auguste et al. compared IGRA and TST for identifying latent tuberculosis infection that progresses to active tuberculosis. 17 studies were included. However, no significant differences were observed, and the authors concluded that “prospective studies comparing IGRA testing against TST on the progression from LTBI to TB were sparse, and these results should be interpreted with caution due to uncertainty, risk of bias, and unexplained heterogeneity. Population-based studies with adequate sample size and follow-up are required to adequately compare the performance of IGRA with TST in people at high risk of TB (Auguste et al., 2017).”

Nasiri et al. performed a meta-analysis focusing on the diagnostic accuracy of IGRA and TST for LTBI in transplant patients. 16 articles were included, and the results are as follows: “pooled sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), positive likelihood ratio (PLR), negative likelihood ratio (NLR) and diagnostic odds ratio (DOR) for TST were 46%, 86%, 46.3%, 88.7%, 3.3, 0.63, and 5 respectively. For QFT-G (an IGRA), the pooled sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, PLR, NLR, and DOR were 58%, 89%, 72.7%, 80.6%, 5.3, 0.47, and 11, respectively. Likewise, for TSPOT.TB (another IGRA), the pooled sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, PLR, NLR, and DOR were 55%, 92%, 60.4%, 90.2%, 6.7, 0.52, and 16, respectively”. The authors concluded that “IGRAs were more sensitive and specific than the TST with regard to the diagnosis of LTBI in the transplant candidates. They have added value and can be complementary to TST (Nasiri et al., 2019).”

Active TB Testing

The diagnosis of TB disease should be suspected in patients with relevant clinical manifestations and exposure history (Lewinsohn et al., 2017). Laboratory testing is an integral part of the rapid and accurate diagnosis of TB to facilitate timely initiation of treatment.

Microbiologic testing is used to evaluate an active TB infection. These tests may include the acid-fast bacilli smear (AFB), the mycobacterial culture, and molecular testing. Smears are the fastest and cheapest diagnostic tool, cultures are the most sensitive, and molecular testing is used for assessing drug resistance (Bernardo, 2019).

The detection of acid-fast bacilli (AFB) on microscopic examination of stained sputum smears is the most rapid and inexpensive technique (Bernardo, 2019); however, it is limited by its lack of sensitivity in certain situations, such as extrapulmonary infection or coinfection with HIV (Pai, Nicol, & Boehme, 2016). The mycobacteria retain the stain in a mineral-acid or acid-alcohol solution, and microscopy identifies these strains. LED microscopy has seen more use recently than the traditional light microscopy (Bernardo, 2019).

Rapid and accurate diagnosis is critical for timely initiation of TB treatment (Pai et al., 2016). Although sensitive, culture can take over two weeks to return results (Lewinsohn et al., 2017). Three specimens should be examined to assure a sensitivity of approximately 70%. The first specimen has a sensitivity of approximately 53.8%, increasing by 11.1% with a second specimen, and another 2-5% with a third (Mase et al., 2007). A first morning specimen increases sensitivity by 12%, and concentrating specimens can increase sensitivity by 18% (Steingart, Ng, et al., 2006). Use of fluorescence microscopy also increases sensitivity 10% over conventional microscopy (Lewinsohn et al., 2017; Steingart, Henry, et al., 2006). The positive predictive value has been reported to be 97.9-100% (Gordin & Slutkin, 1990), but it is impacted by non-tuberculosis *Mycobacterium* species (NTM) (Yajko, Nassos, Sanders, Madej, & Hadley, 1994).

Nucleic acid amplification techniques (NAAT) have been developed for rapid diagnosis of TB. Two major tests are available, the Amplified Mycobacterium tuberculosis Direct (MTD) test and the Xpert MTB/RIF test. NAAT-based assays are more sensitive than smear, but less sensitive than culture, with a reported sensitivity of 96% and specificity of 99% (Greco, Girardi, Navarra, & Saltini, 2006; Lewinsohn et al., 2017). NAAT testing has >95% positive predictive value in the setting of AFB smear-positive specimens for distinguishing tuberculous from nontuberculous mycobacteria, and it can establish the presence of tuberculosis in 50 to 80% of AFB smear-negative specimens (Cheng, Yew, & Yuen, 2005). NAAT does not replace the roles of AFB smear and culture (Ling, Flores, Riley, & Pai, 2008) in the diagnostic algorithm for tuberculosis and results must be interpreted in conjunction with AFB smear results while mycobacterial culture is pending (CDC, 2009; Lewinsohn et al., 2017).

Sequence-based assays provide the genetic identity of a particular mutation and, therefore, can predict drug resistance with greater accuracy than probe-based assays. The testing identifies genetic mutations associated with rifampin and isoniazid resistance as well as resistance to second-line drugs including fluoroquinolones and the injectables amikacin, kanamycin, and capreomycin. Molecular testing results are generally available within days and can be used to guide initial treatment decisions and inform design of prevention regimens for contacts (Bernardo, 2019; Taylor, Nolan, & Blumberg, 2005).

More proprietary tests exist for the assessment of TB. Rapid Biosensor (RBS) offers a breath test “TB Breathalyzer” for TB. The test proposes that it can detect actively infectious bacilli instead of relying on sputum (which some patients do not produce). The test estimates its limit of detection at 25-75 bacilli and notes that it can be used easily in rural communities. When a patient coughs in the

collection tube, any TB bacilli will react with the biochemical formulation at the bottom of the tube, which is then detected by the diode laser in the reader unit (RBS, 2015).

The reference standard for diagnosis of any TB infection is isolation of *M. tuberculosis* (Pai et al., 2016). The isolate recovered should be identified according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines (Institute, 2018) and the American Society for Microbiology Manual of Clinical Microbiology (Lewinsohn et al., 2017; Woods, Lin, & Desmond, 2015), and all United States jurisdictions require submission of culture isolates identified as *M. tuberculosis* for confirmation of identification and drug susceptibility testing (Taylor et al., 2005). Positive cultures are also reported to public health authorities for oversight and case management (Bernardo, 2019).

Clinical Validity and Utility

Cruciani et al. (2004) performed a meta-analysis of 10 studies (1381 strains from 14745 clinical specimens) which found that both liquid and solid culture media methods are highly specific (99%). Liquid culture methods are more sensitive (81.5-85.8%) and have a shorter time to detection (13.215.2 day) than solid media but are more prone to contamination (4-9%). Solid media has a sensitivity of 76% and averages 25.8 days for detection. The use of both culture methods increases the overall sensitivity to 87.7-89.7%.

Bourgi et al. “aimed to evaluate the reliability and projected impact of nucleic acid amplification (NAA) testing in patients with acid-fast bacilli (AFB) smear-positive respiratory samples”. The authors identified a retrospective cohort of AFB smear-positive patients and evaluated the projected change in “duration of airborne isolation and unnecessary Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB) treatment with introducing NAA testing into clinical decision making for AFB smear-positive patients”. 130 patients were found to be AFB positive, of which 80 tested positive on NAA. 82 patients grew MTB on culture. NAA testing was found to have a sensitivity of 97.6% and specificity of 100%. Integrating NAA testing into clinical decision making led to shortened time in airborne isolation (6.0 ± 7.6 vs 23.1 ± 38.0) and 9.5 ± 11.32 fewer days of “unnecessary MTB treatment in patients with negative NAA test.” The authors concluded, “Nucleic acid amplification testing provided a rapid and accurate test in the diagnosis of MTB while significantly reducing the duration of isolation and unnecessary medications in patients with negative NAA test (Bourgi et al., 2017).”

Urine testing for mycobacterial cell wall glycolipid (Shah et al., 2010) has been investigated as a point of care assay for diagnosis of TB in HIV infected patients (Nakiyingi et al., 2014). The test was 97.6% specific and 67.9% sensitive in patients with $CD4 < 100$. It is useful in addition to routine diagnostic tests for HIV-infected patients with signs and symptoms of TB and $CD4 \leq 100$ cells/microL and for all HIV-infected patients who are seriously ill (Shah et al., 2016; WHO, 2015a). Gupta-Wright et al. (2018) evaluated the sputum Xpert MTB/RIF with or without urine lipoarabinomannan (LAM) testing. There was no difference in overall mortality over 2574 patients, but they found that urine Lam testing might benefit some high-risk subgroups ($CD4 < 100$, severe anaemia, and patients with clinically suspected tuberculosis) (Gupta-Wright et al., 2018).

Adenosine deaminase (ADA) and interferon-gamma (IFN- γ) levels in cerebrospinal, pleural, peritoneal, and pericardial fluids have been studied in the diagnosis of extrapulmonary TB. A joint review by the ATS, IDSA, and CDC found the sensitivity of ADA in these fluids to be 79% and the specificity to be 83% for TB. The sensitivity of IFN- γ in these fluids was 89% and the specificity was

97%. However, the authors remarked that neither the ADA level nor the IFN- γ level provide a definitive diagnosis of TB disease (Lewinsohn et al., 2017).

De Groote et al. developed a panel based on proteomic analysis. 1470 serum samples were collected from patients “with symptoms and signs suggestive of active pulmonary TB that were systematically confirmed or ruled out for TB by culture and clinical follow-up”. Six protein biomarkers were identified: “SYWC, kallistatin, complement C9, gelsolin, testican-2, and aldolase C”, which performed well in a training set (area under curve = 0.92) to distinguish between TB and non-TB. It was also found to have 90% sensitivity and 80 % specificity. The authors concluded that their panel “warrants diagnostic development on a patient-near platform” (De Groote et al., 2017).

Heyckendorf et al. compared the utility of genotypic and phenotypic assays for evaluation of tuberculosis (TB) drug resistance. The authors used the results from the assays to develop treatment regimens for the 25 multi- and extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis patients in the study. Compared to phenotypic assay-developed regimens, whole genome sequencing (WGS) yielded a regimen of drugs at 93% agreement with the phenotypic assay’s regimen. Further, the whole genome sequencing-derived regimen did not contain any drugs identified as resistant by the phenotypic assay. However, the authors commented that “MIC [minimum inhibitory concentration] testing revealed that pDST [phenotypic drug susceptibility testing] likely underestimated the true rate of resistance for key drugs (rifampin, levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, and kanamycin) because critical concentrations (CCs) were too high”. Results derived from other genotypic assays (Xpert, line probe assays) had lower agreement with the phenotypic assay (49% and 63% respectively). The authors concluded that “WGS can be used to rule in resistance even in M/XDR strains with complex resistance patterns, but pDST for some drugs is still needed to confirm susceptibility and construct the final regimens. Some CCs for pDST need to be reexamined to avoid systematic false-susceptible results in low-level resistant isolates” (Heyckendorf et al., 2018).

Ustinova et al. investigated an assay’s ability to identify and distinguish between nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex (MTBC) in culture and sputum. 301 NTM cultures with mycobacteriosis were measured, and sputum samples were contributed by “104 patients with mycobacteriosis, 3627 patients with tuberculosis and 118 patients with other lung diseases”. The authors results were as follows: “Specificity and sensitivity of the assay for MTBC was found to be 100% both in culture and sputum samples; for NTM, the specificity was 100% in culture and sputum, the sensitivity reached 100% in culture and 73.1% in sputum samples. Positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) of the assay for culture were both 100%, for clinical material 100% and 80.8%, respectively” (Ustinova et al., 2019).

Adams et al. compared the performances of the tuberculin skin test (TST) and two interferon-gamma release assays (IGRAs). Five hundred and five health care workers (HCWs) in Cape Town, South Africa, were screened for latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) using the three assays. The authors identified LTBI prevalence to be 81%. TST at a cut off of 10 mm had the highest sensitivity at 93% and the lowest specificity at 57%. The QFT-GIT IGRA sensitivity was 80% and specificity was 96%; the TSPOT.TB IGRA sensitivity was 74% and specificity was 96%. Positive predictive values for IGRAs was 90% and 96% for TST and the highest negative predictive value was 66%. However, a composite rule using both TST and QFT-GIT improved negative predictive value to 90%. The authors concluded that

“in an endemic setting a positive TST or IGRA was highly predictive of LTBI, while a combination of TST and IGRA had high rule-out value (Adams et al., 2019).

Zürcher et al. evaluated the “mortality in patients with tuberculosis from high-burden countries, according to concordance or discordance of results from drug susceptibility testing done locally and in a reference laboratory”. A total of 634 patients were included, 272 of which were HIV-positive. The authors identified 394 strains (62%) to be “pan-susceptible”, 45 (7%) to be mono-resistant, 163 (27%) to be multi-drug resistant, and 30 (5%) to be “extensively” resistant. The laboratory results were concordant for 513 (81%) patients and discordant for 121 (19%) patients, resulting in a 90.8% sensitivity and 84.3% specificity. The authors identified a 7.33 odds ratio of death for patients with discordant results, which potentially led to under-treatment. The authors concluded “inaccurate drug susceptibility testing by comparison with a reference standard leads to under-treatment of drug-resistant tuberculosis and increased mortality” (Zürcher et al., 2019).

V. Guidelines and Recommendations

World Health Organization (WHO, 2015b, 2018, 2020a, 2020b)

The WHO published recommendations for the diagnosis of TB which state:

- Mycobacteria can be visually distinguished from other microorganisms by their thick lipid containing cell walls, which retain biochemical stains despite decolorization by acid-containing reagents (known as ‘acid fastness’). Given that the examination of two sputum specimens is adequate to identify the majority (95-98%) of smear-positive TB patients, WHO’s current policy on case-finding using microscopy recommends that in settings with appropriate external quality assessment and documented good-quality microscopy two specimens should be examined (WHO, 2015b).
- “Direct Ziehl–Neelsen staining of sputum specimens and examination using light microscopy is suitable for use at all levels of laboratory, including peripheral laboratories at primary healthcare centres or district hospitals. There is insufficient evidence that processed sputum specimens (for example, those that are concentrated or chemically treated) give better results than direct smear microscopy. Therefore, the use of such methods is not recommended” (WHO, 2015b).

- “Evidence shows that the diagnostic accuracy of LED microscopy is comparable to that of conventional fluorescence microscopy and it surpasses that of conventional Ziehl–Neelsen microscopy (by an average of 10%). Therefore, WHO recommends replacing conventional fluorescence microscopy with LED microscopy, and that LED microscopy should be phased in as an alternative to conventional Ziehl–Neelsen light microscopy in all settings, prioritizing high-volume laboratories” (WHO, 2015b).
- “Mycobacteria can be cultured in specific solid or liquid media. Bacterial growth can be identified visually (that is, by identifying specific characteristics) or by automated detection of its metabolism. All positive mycobacterial cultures must be tested to confirm the identification of *M. tuberculosis* complex (MTBC)” (WHO, 2015b).
- “Differentiation of the members of the MTBC is necessary for the treatment of individual patients and for epidemiological purposes, especially in areas of the world where tuberculosis has reached epidemic proportions or wherever the transmission of *M. bovis* between animals or animal products and humans is a problem. In addition, it can be important to rapidly identify isolates of *M. bovis* bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) recovered from immunocompromised patients. Differentiation of species with the MTBC can be achieved using either phenotypic²⁶ and/ or genotypic methods” (WHO, 2015b).
- “The use of rapid immunochromatographic assays (or strip tests for speciation) to identify cultured isolates is recommended because they provide definitive identification of all members of the MTBC (including *M. bovis*) in 15 minutes” (WHO, 2015b).
- “WHO recommends that either TST or IGRA can be used to test for LTBI in high-income and upper middle-income countries with estimated TB incidence less than 100 per 100000 population” (WHO, 2015a).
- “It is strongly recommended that commercial serodiagnostic tests not be used for the diagnosis of pulmonary and extra-pulmonary TB. Currently available commercial serodiagnostic tests (also referred to as serological tests) provide inconsistent and imprecise findings. There is no evidence that existing commercial serological assays improve patient outcomes, and high proportions of false positive and false-negative results may have an adverse impact on the health of patients” (WHO, 2015b).
- “There is no consistent evidence that IGRAs are more sensitive than TST for diagnosis of active TB disease. Studies evaluating the incremental value of IGRAs to conventional microbiological tests show no meaningful contribution of IGRAs to the diagnosis of active TB. IGRAs are considered inadequate as rule-out or rule-in tests for active TB, especially in the context of HIV infection. IGRAs should not be used for the diagnosis of active TB disease” (WHO, 2015b).

The following recommendations involve LTBI (WHO, 2018).

- “Either a tuberculin skin test (TST) or interferon-gamma release assay (IGRA) can be used to test for LTBI.”
- “LTBI testing by TST or IGRA is not a requirement for initiating preventive treatment in people living with HIV or child household contacts aged < 5 years. (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence. Updated recommendation)”

“Adults and adolescents living with HIV should be screened for TB according to a clinical algorithm. Those who do not report any of the symptoms of current cough, fever, weight loss or night sweats are unlikely to have active TB and should be offered preventive treatment, regardless of their ART status.”

- “People living with HIV who have a positive test for LTBI benefit more from preventive treatment than those who have a negative LTBI test; LTBI testing can be used, where feasible, to identify such individuals.”
- “Patients initiating anti-TNF treatment, patients receiving dialysis, patients preparing for an organ or haematological transplant and patients with silicosis should be systematically tested and treated for LTBI. (Strong recommendation, low–very low-quality evidence. Updated recommendation)”
- “In countries with a low TB incidence, systematic testing for and treatment of LTBI may be considered for prisoners, health workers, immigrants from countries with a high TB burden, homeless people and people who use illicit drugs. (Conditional recommendation, low–very low-quality evidence. Existing recommendation)”
- “Systematic testing for LTBI is not recommended for people with diabetes, people with harmful alcohol use, tobacco smokers and underweight people unless they are already included in the above recommendations. (Conditional recommendation, very low-quality evidence. Existing recommendation)”
- “There is no gold standard method for diagnosing LTBI. TST and IGRA require a competent immune response in order to identify people infected with TB and are imperfect tests for measuring progression to active disease.” (WHO, 2018)

The WHO also published an additional guideline in 2020, which discusses preventive treatment. Some relevant recommendations and comments are listed below:

- “Either a tuberculin skin test (TST) or interferon-gamma release assay (IGRA) can be used to test for LTBI.”
- “There is no strong evidence that one test should be preferred over the other in terms of predicting progression from TB infection to TB disease. Neither TSTs nor IGRAs should be used in persons having a low risk of TB infection and disease.”
- A testing algorithm was also published in the guideline, which discusses latent TB testing and subsequent treatment in individuals at risk. The guideline writes that both asymptomatic household contacts (of patients with TB), as well as members of non-HIV risk groups (such as patients with “silicosis, dialysis, anti-TNF agent treatment, preparation for transplantation or other risks in national guidelines” should be tested with TST or IGRA.
- “There is no gold standard method for diagnosing LTBI. TST and IGRA require a competent immune response in order to identify people infected with TB and are imperfect tests for measuring progression to active disease.”

Finally, the WHO published an extensive guideline on the diagnosis of tuberculosis. Some relevant recommendations and comments are listed below:

- “In adults with signs and symptoms of pulmonary TB, Xpert MTB/RIF should be used as an initial diagnostic test for TB and rifampicin-resistance detection in sputum rather than smear microscopy/culture and phenotypic DST. (Strong recommendation, high certainty of evidence for test accuracy; moderate certainty of evidence for patient-important outcomes)”
- “In children with signs and symptoms of pulmonary TB, Xpert MTB/RIF should be used as an initial diagnostic test for TB and rifampicin-resistance detection in sputum, gastric aspirate, nasopharyngeal aspirate and stool rather than smear microscopy/culture and phenotypic DST. (Strong recommendation, moderate certainty for accuracy in sputum; low certainty of evidence for test accuracy in gastric aspirate, nasopharyngeal aspirate and stool)”
- “In adults with signs and symptoms of pulmonary TB and without a prior history of TB (≤ 5 years) or with a remote history of TB treatment (> 5 years since end of treatment), Xpert Ultra should be used as an initial diagnostic test for TB and for rifampicin-resistance detection in sputum, rather than smear microscopy/culture and phenotypic DST. (Strong recommendation, high certainty of evidence for test accuracy)”
- “In adults with signs and symptoms of pulmonary TB and with a prior history of TB and an end of treatment within the last 5 years, Xpert Ultra may be used as an initial diagnostic test for TB and for rifampicin-resistance detection in sputum, rather than smear microscopy/culture and phenotypic DST. (Conditional recommendation, low certainty of evidence for test accuracy)”
- “In children with signs and symptoms of pulmonary TB, Xpert Ultra should be used as the initial diagnostic test for TB and detection of rifampicin resistance in sputum or nasopharyngeal aspirate, rather than smear microscopy/culture and phenotypic DST. (Strong recommendation, low certainty of evidence for test accuracy in sputum; very low certainty of evidence for test accuracy in nasopharyngeal aspirate)”
- “In adults and children with signs and symptoms of TB meningitis, Xpert MTB/RIF or Xpert Ultra should be used in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) as an initial diagnostic test for TB meningitis rather than smear microscopy/culture. (Strong recommendation, moderate certainty of evidence for test accuracy for Xpert MTB/RIF; low certainty of evidence for test accuracy for Xpert Ultra)”
- “In adults and children with signs and symptoms of extrapulmonary TB, Xpert MTB/RIF may be used in lymph node aspirate, lymph node biopsy, pleural fluid, peritoneal fluid, pericardial fluid, synovial fluid or urine specimens as the initial diagnostic test for respective form of extrapulmonary TB rather than smear microscopy/culture. (Conditional recommendation, moderate certainty of evidence for test accuracy for pleural fluid; low certainty for lymph node aspirate, peritoneal fluid, synovial fluid, urine; very low certainty for pericardial fluid, lymph nodes biopsy)”
- “In adults and children with signs and symptoms of extrapulmonary TB, Xpert Ultra may be used in lymph node aspirate and lymph node biopsy as the initial diagnostic test for lymph

nodes TB rather than smear microscopy/culture. (Conditional recommendation, low certainty of evidence)”

- “In adults and children with signs and symptoms of extrapulmonary TB, Xpert MTB/RIF or Xpert Ultra should be used for rifampicin-resistance detection rather than culture and phenotypic DST. (Strong recommendation, high certainty of evidence for test accuracy for Xpert MTB/RIF; low certainty of evidence for Xpert Ultra)”
 “In HIV-positive adults and children with signs and symptoms of disseminated TB, Xpert MTB/RIF may be used in blood, as an initial diagnostic test for disseminated TB. (Conditional recommendation, very low certainty of evidence for test accuracy)”
- “In adults with signs and symptoms of pulmonary TB who have an Xpert Ultra trace positive result on the initial test, repeated testing with Xpert Ultra may not be used.”
- “In children with signs and symptoms of pulmonary TB in settings with pretest probability below 5% and an Xpert MTB/RIF negative result on the initial test, repeated testing with Xpert MTB/RIF in sputum, gastric fluid, nasopharyngeal aspirate or stool specimens may not be used.”
- “In children with signs and symptoms of pulmonary TB in settings with pretest probability 5% or more and an Xpert MTB/RIF negative result on the initial test, repeated testing with Xpert MTB/RIF (for total of two tests) in sputum, gastric fluid, nasopharyngeal aspirate and stool specimens may be used.”
- “In children with signs and symptoms of pulmonary TB in settings with pretest probability below 5% and an Xpert Ultra negative result on the initial test, repeated testing with Xpert Ultra in sputum or nasopharyngeal aspirate specimens may not be used.”
- “In children with signs and symptoms of pulmonary TB in settings with pretest probability 5% or more and an Xpert Ultra negative result on the first initial test, repeated one Xpert Ultra test (for a total of two tests) in sputum and nasopharyngeal aspirate specimens may be used.”
- “In adults in the general population who had either signs or symptoms of TB or chest radiograph with lung abnormalities or both, the Xpert MTB/RIF or Xpert Ultra may replace culture as the initial test for pulmonary TB. (Conditional recommendation, low certainty of the evidence in test accuracy for Xpert MTB/RIF and moderate certainty for Xpert Ultra)”
- “In adults and children with signs and symptoms of pulmonary TB, the Truenat MTB or MTB Plus may be used as an initial diagnostic test for TB rather than smear microscopy/culture. (Conditional recommendation, moderate certainty of evidence for test accuracy)”
- “In adults and children with signs and symptoms of pulmonary TB and a Truenat MTB or MTB Plus positive result, Truenat MTB-RIF Dx may be used as an initial test for rifampicin resistance rather than culture and phenotypic DST. (Conditional recommendation, very low certainty of evidence for test accuracy)”
- “For persons with a sputum smear-positive specimen or a cultured isolate of MTBC, commercial molecular LPAs [line probe assays] may be used as the initial test instead of phenotypic culture-based DST to detect resistance to rifampicin and isoniazid.”
- “LPAs are not recommended for the direct testing of sputum smear-negative specimens.”

- “These recommendations do not eliminate the need for conventional culture-based DST, which will be necessary to determine resistance to other anti-TB agents and to monitor the emergence of additional drug resistance.”
- “Conventional culture-based DST for isoniazid may still be used to evaluate patients when the LPA result does not detect isoniazid resistance. This is particularly important for populations with a high pretest probability of resistance to isoniazid.”
- “For patients with confirmed MDR- or rifampicin-resistant TB (MDR/RR-TB), second-line LPAs are recommended to detect additional resistance to second-line anti-TB agents.”
- “WHO suggests using LF-LAM [Lateral flow urine lipoarabinomannan assay] to assist in the diagnosis of active TB in HIV-positive adults, adolescents and children: with signs and

symptoms of TB (pulmonary and/or extrapulmonary) or seriously ill (conditional recommendation, low certainty in the evidence about test accuracy); and irrespective of signs and symptoms of TB and with a CD4 cell count of less than 100 cells/mm³ (conditional recommendation, very low certainty in the evidence about test accuracy)

- “WHO recommends against using LF-LAM to assist in the diagnosis of active TB in HIV-positive adults, adolescents and children [in the following settings]: without assessing TB symptoms, without TB symptoms and unknown CD4 cell count or without TB symptoms and CD4 cell count greater than or equal to 200 cells/mm³, or without TB symptoms and with a CD4 cell count of 100–200 cells/mm³.
- Finally, the WHO did not discuss who genome sequencing of clinical isolates in the context of assessing drug resistance susceptibility for TB. (WHO, 2020a)

American Thoracic Society/Infectious Diseases Society of America/Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Lewinsohn et al., 2017)

The ATS/IDSA/CDC published clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis of TB in 2017 that stated the following:

LTBI:

- “We recommend performing an interferon-γ release assay (IGRA) rather than a tuberculin skin test (TST) in individuals 5 years or older who meet the following criteria: (1) are likely to be infected with *Mtb*, (2) have a low or intermediate risk of disease progression, (3) it has been decided that testing for LTBI is warranted, and (4) either have a history of BCG vaccination or are unlikely to return to have their TST read (*strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence*).”
- “We suggest performing an IGRA rather than a TST in all other individuals 5 years or older who are likely to be infected with *Mtb*, who have a low or intermediate risk of disease progression, and in whom it has been decided that testing for LTBI is warranted (*conditional recommendation, moderate-quality evidence*).”
- “There are insufficient data to recommend a preference for either a TST or an IGRA as the first-line diagnostic test in individuals 5 years or older who are likely to be infected with *Mtb*, who have a high risk of progression to disease, and in whom it has been determined that diagnostic testing for LTBI is warranted.”
- “Guidelines recommend that persons at low risk for *Mtb* infection and disease progression NOT be tested for *Mtb* infection. We concur with this recommendation. However, we also recognize that such testing may be obliged by law or credentialing bodies. If diagnostic testing for LTBI is performed in individuals who are unlikely to be infected with *Mtb* despite guidelines to the contrary:”
 - “We suggest performing an IGRA instead of a TST in individuals 5 years or older (*conditional recommendation, low-quality evidence*). Remarks: A TST is an acceptable alternative in settings where an IGRA is unavailable, too costly, or too burdensome.”
 - “We suggest a second diagnostic test if the initial test is positive in individuals 5 years or older (*conditional recommendation, very low-quality evidence*). Remarks: The

confirmatory test may be either an IGRA or a TST. When such testing is performed, the person is considered infected only if both tests are positive.”

- “We suggest performing a TST rather than an IGRA in healthy children <5 years of age for whom it has been decided that diagnostic testing for LTBI is warranted (*conditional recommendation, very low-quality evidence*).”
- “While both IGRA and TST testing provide evidence for infection with Mtb, they cannot distinguish active from latent TB. Therefore, the diagnosis of active TB must be excluded prior to embarking on treatment for LTBI. This is typically done by determining whether or not symptoms suggestive of TB disease are present, performing a chest radiograph and, if radiographic signs of active TB (eg, airspace opacities, pleural effusions, cavities, or changes on serial radiographs) are seen, then sampling is performed, and the patient managed accordingly.” TB Disease:
- “We recommend that acid-fast bacilli (AFB) smear microscopy be performed, rather than no AFB smear microscopy, in all patients suspected of having pulmonary TB.”
- “We suggest that both liquid and solid mycobacterial cultures be performed, rather than either culture method alone, for every specimen obtained from an individual with suspected TB disease.”
- “We suggest performing a diagnostic nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT), rather than not performing a NAAT, on the initial respiratory specimen from patients suspected of having pulmonary TB.”
- “We recommend performing rapid molecular drug susceptibility testing for rifampin with or without isoniazid using the respiratory specimens of persons who are either AFB smear positive or Hologic Amplified MTD positive and who meet one of the following criteria: (1) have been treated for tuberculosis in the past, (2) were born in or have lived for at least 1 year in a foreign country with at least a moderate tuberculosis incidence (≥ 20 per 100000) or a high primary multidrug-resistant tuberculosis prevalence ($\geq 2\%$), (3) are contacts of patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis, or (4) are HIV infected.”
- “We suggest mycobacterial culture of respiratory specimens for all children suspected of having pulmonary TB.”
- “We suggest that cell counts, and chemistries be performed on amenable fluid specimens collected from sites of suspected extrapulmonary TB.
- “We suggest that adenosine deaminase levels be measured, rather than not measured, on fluid collected from patients with suspected pleural TB, TB meningitis, peritoneal TB, or pericardial TB.”
- “We suggest that free IFN- γ levels be measured, rather than not measured, on fluid collected from patients with suspected pleural TB or peritoneal TB.”
- “We suggest that AFB smear microscopy be performed, rather than not performed, on specimens collected from sites of suspected extrapulmonary TB.

- “We recommend that mycobacterial cultures be performed, rather than not performed, on specimens collected from sites of suspected extrapulmonary TB.”
- “We suggest that NAAT be performed, rather than not performed, on specimens collected from sites of suspected extrapulmonary TB.”
- “We suggest that histological examination be performed, rather than not performed, on specimens collected from sites of suspected extrapulmonary TB.”
- “Recently, whole-genome sequencing (WGS) has been applied to investigation of tuberculosis outbreaks. This technique may add discriminatory power to strain identification, but the role of WGS in outbreak investigation is still being determined.”
- “We recommend one culture isolate from each mycobacterial culture-positive patient be submitted to a regional genotyping laboratory for genotyping (Lewinsohn et al., 2017).”

National Institute of Health (NIH, 2019)

The NIH published a set of guidelines regarding opportunistic infections in HIV-positive patients. The NIH writes that “All persons with HIV should be tested for LTBI [latent TB infection] at the time of HIV diagnosis, regardless of their epidemiological risk of TB exposure”.

The NIH also comments on diagnostic testing, stating that “sputum smear and culture should be performed in symptomatic patients being evaluated for possible TB disease who have a normal chest radiograph, as well as in persons with no pulmonary symptoms but evidence of TB disease elsewhere in the body”. The NIH remarks that “pleural fluid, pericardial fluid, ascites, and cerebrospinal fluid should be sampled if there is clinical evidence of involvement.”

The NIH also discusses drug resistance testing, recommending that “The presence of drug resistance should be considered in any patient with:

- Known exposure to a person with drug-resistant TB
- Residence in a setting with high rates of primary drug-resistant TB (e.g., a country or area with high rates of drug resistance in patients with newly diagnosed TB)
- Persistently positive smear or culture results at or after 4 months of treatment
- Previous TB treatment, particularly if it was not directly observed or was interrupted for any reason.”

The NIH recommends the above categories of patients to be “prioritized for rapid molecular testing”.

Overall, the NIH recommends that “For all patients with TB disease, drug-susceptibility [DST] testing to first-line TB drugs (isoniazid, rifampin, ethambutol, and pyrazinamide) should be performed, regardless of the source of the specimen. DSTs should be repeated if sputum cultures remain positive for *M. tuberculosis* at or after 4 months of treatment or become positive 1 month or longer after culture conversion to negative...Resistance testing for second-line TB medications (fluoroquinolones, aminoglycosides, capreomycin, ethionamide, and others) should be performed only in reference laboratories with substantial experience in these techniques and should be limited to specimens with resistance to first-line TB medications.” The NIH makes a further stipulation that “isolates with an initial reading of rifampin resistance with the Xpert MTB/RIF should undergo confirmatory testing

(rpoB gene sequencing or phenotypic drug susceptibility testing), and in such cases, additional specimens should be obtained from the patient.” (NIH, 2019)

American Thoracic Society, U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, European Respiratory Society, and Infectious Diseases Society of America (ATS/CDC/ERS/IDSA) (Nahid et al., 2019)

This joint guideline was published to discuss the treatment of drug-resistant tuberculosis. The guideline notes that “molecular DSTs [drug susceptibility tests] should be obtained for rapid detection of mutations associated with resistance. When rifampin resistance is detected, additional DST should be performed immediately for first-line drugs, fluoroquinolones, and aminoglycosides.”. The guideline further stated that “A rapid test for a [sic] least rifampin resistance should ideally be done for every patient, but especially for those at risk of drug resistance.” Individuals who “have or recently had close contact with a patient with infectious DR-TB [drug resistant tuberculosis] especially when the contact is a young child or has HIV infection, are at risk of developing DR-TB”.

The guideline also remarks that if “sputum cultures remain positive after 3 months of treatment, or if there is bacteriological reversion from negative to positive at any time, DST [drug susceptibility testing] should be repeated” and that monthly cultures help to “identify early evidence of failure”. Finally, this guideline refers to the above 2017 Lewinsohn guideline as providing “additional details on the optimal use of diagnostic tools and algorithms”. (Nahid et al., 2019)

United State Preventative Service Task Force (Bibbins-Domingo et al., 2016)

The USPSTF published a recommendation (2016) which found adequate evidence that accurate screening tests for LTBI are available, treatment of LTBI provides a moderate health benefit in preventing progression to active disease, and the harms of screening and treatment are small. The USPSTF has moderate certainty that screening for LTBI in persons at increased risk for infection provides a moderate net benefit. (Bibbins-Domingo et al., 2016)

Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA)/American Society of Microbiology (ASM) (Miller et al., 2018)

In the 2018 update to the IDSA/ASM joint guideline, A Guide to Utilization of the Microbiology Laboratory for Diagnosis of Infectious Diseases, concerning *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, they recommend AFB smear or AFB culture when performing laboratory diagnosis. They do allow for the use of NAAT for diagnosing *M. tuberculosis*; however, they state, “A negative result does not rule out *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.” They also note that currently there is no commercially available, FDA approved NAAT for mycobacteria for nonrespiratory samples.

In cases of laboratory diagnosis of pulmonary infections in cystic fibrosis due to suspected *Mycobacterium* spp, they recommend performing a mycobacterial culture from the expectorated sputum, bronchoscopically obtained cultures, or other respiratory cultures. (Miller et al., 2018)

Committee on Infectious Diseases, American Academy of Pediatrics, 31st Edition (2018-2021, Red Book) (Pediatrics, 2018)

Highlights from the updated Red Book include the following:

- The AAP notes two NAATs cleared by the FDA for detection of *M. tuberculosis*. Xpert MTBRIF is considered more sensitive than microscopy but not as sensitive as culture. The AAP also remarks that the CDC recommends a NAAT on at least 1 respiratory tract specimen when TB is suspected.

- For children younger than 2 years, the TST is the preferred method for detection of infection.
- Universal testing with either TST or IGRA is discouraged.
- All organ transplant candidates should be given a TST or IGRA before starting immunosuppression.
- The AAP recommends the following for an “immediate” TST or IGRA:
 - children with suspected TB contact
 - children with clinical or radiographic findings suggesting TB
 - children immigrating from countries with endemic infection
 - children with history of significant travel to countries with endemic infection
- The AAP also recommends an annual TST/IGRA for children with HIV (Pediatrics, 2018).

Tuberculosis Network European Trials Group (TBNET)/RESIST-TB (Domínguez et al., 2016)

This consensus statement encompasses molecular drug resistance testing for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.

- “Although they do not cover all mutations involved in RMP resistance, molecular methods for RMP could be considered a standard for the diagnostic evaluation of patients with presumptive MDR-TB. In low MDR-TB prevalence countries, physicians should be aware of possible false-positive resistance results of molecular tests, and RMP resistance should be confirmed by a second molecular test on a different sample or by phenotypic tests.”
- “Although >90% of RMP-resistant strains are also resistant to INH, molecular testing for INH drug resistance is important.”
- “In all patients with evidence of *M. tuberculosis* with an *rpoB* mutation in a direct specimen or when DST indicates MDR-TB, molecular testing for second-line resistance should be undertaken to guide treatment and to reduce the time to diagnose XDR-TB.”
- “WGS [whole genome sequencing] provides the complete sequence information of the bacterial genome. However, due to the lack of correlation with in vitro (phenotypic DST) and in vivo (treatment outcome) data at present, it is not possible to interpret the clinical value of the vast majority of mutations or polymorphisms detected.”
- “The level of discordance between molecular and culture-based DST depends on the drug and the genomic region evaluated. Despite the fact that results of phenotypic methods do not always correspond to response to clinical treatment, culture-based methods are still regarded

by most experts involved in this document as the gold standard for DST” (Domínguez et al., 2016).

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE, 2019)

NICE has published guidelines for assessment of TB, which include the following recommendations:

- “If the Mantoux test is positive but a diagnosis of active TB is excluded, consider an interferon gamma release assay if more evidence of infection is needed to decide on treatment.”
- “For adults who are severely immunocompromised, such as those with HIV and CD4 counts of fewer than 200 cells/mm³, or after solid organ or allogeneic stem cell transplant, offer an interferon-gamma release assay and a concurrent Mantoux test.”
- “For other adults who are immunocompromised, consider an interferon-gamma release assay alone or an interferon-gamma release assay with a concurrent Mantoux test. If either test is positive (for Mantoux, this is an induration of 5 mm or larger, regardless of BCG history), assess for active TB.”
- “Only consider using interferon-gamma release assays alone in children and young people if Mantoux testing is not available or is impractical.”
- “If TB is a possibility, microbiology staff should consider carrying out TB culture on samples, even if it is not requested.”
- “Request rapid diagnostic nucleic acid amplification tests for the *M. tuberculosis* complex (*M. tuberculosis*, *M. bovis*, *M. africanum*) on primary specimens if there is clinical suspicion of TB disease, and:
 - the person has HIV or
 - rapid information about mycobacterial species would alter the person's care or
 - the need for a large contact-tracing initiative is being explored.”
- “For people with clinically suspected TB, a TB specialist should request rapid diagnostic nucleic acid amplification tests for rifampicin resistance on primary specimens if a risk assessment for multidrug resistance identifies any of the following risk factors:
 - “history of previous TB drug treatment, particularly if there was known to be poor adherence to that treatment”
 - “contact with a known case of multidrug-resistant TB”
 - “birth or residence in a country in which the World Health Organization reports that a high proportion (5% or more) of new TB cases are multidrug-resistant”.
- If the rapid diagnostic nucleic acid amplification test for the *M. tuberculosis* complex is negative in a person at high risk of multidrug-resistant TB:

- “obtain further specimens for nucleic acid amplification testing and culture, if possible”
- “use rapid rifampicin resistance detection on cultures that become positive for the *M. tuberculosis* complex”
- “If the rapid diagnostic nucleic acid amplification test for rifampicin resistance is positive:
 - “test for resistance to second-line drugs.” (NICE, 2019)

European Respiratory Society (ERS) and the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) Statement: European Union Standards for Tuberculosis Care (ERS/ECDC, 2017)

This joint guideline was intended to “define the essential level of care for managing patients who have or are presumed to have TB, or are at increased risk of developing the disease.”

- “All patients (adults, adolescents and children who are capable of producing sputum) thought to have pulmonary tuberculosis should have at least two sputum specimens submitted for microscopic examination and one for rapid testing for the identification of tuberculosis and drug resistance using an internationally recommended (rapid) molecular test. The sample should be sent for liquid culture and, if positive, for culture-based drug susceptibility testing (DST) in a quality-assured laboratory.”
- “For all patients (adults, adolescents and children) presumed to have extrapulmonary tuberculosis, appropriate specimens from the suspected sites of involvement should be obtained for microbiological testing (microscopy, rapid molecular tests, culture, species identification, DST with rapid molecular tests and culture-based techniques) and histopathological examination in quality-assured laboratories.”
- “All persons with chest radiographic findings suggestive of pulmonary tuberculosis should have sputum specimens submitted for microscopic examination, rapid molecular tests, culture, species identification and DST with rapid molecular tests and culture-based techniques in a quality-assured laboratory” (ERS/ECDC, 2017).

VI. State and Federal Regulations, as applicable

The Bactec MGIT 960 System was approved by the FDA in 1998 for the detection of mycobacteria growth from clinical specimens (except blood).

In 1994 the FDA approved the Ge-Probe Amplified Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Direct Test as a Nucleic acid-based in vitro diagnostic devices for the detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex in respiratory specimens. These devices are non-multiplexed and intended to be used as an aid in the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis when used in conjunction with clinical and other laboratory findings.

In 2015 the FDA approved the Xpert® MTB/RIF Assay, performed on the GeneXpert® Instrument Systems, as a qualitative, nested real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in vitro diagnostic test for the detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex DNA in raw sputum or concentrated sputum sediment prepared from induced or expectorated sputum. In specimens where *Mycobacterium*

tuberculosis complex (MTB-complex) is detected, the Xpert MTB/RIF Assay also detects the rifampinresistance associated mutations of the *rpoB* gene.

The QuantiFERON-TB® assay (CSL Biosciences, Australia) for detection of gamma interferon production is a blood test that has been used in humans in Australia. In November 2001, this test received approval from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the United States for the following indication: "The QuantiFERON-TB test is intended as an aid in the detection of latent *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection."

In December of 2004, QuantiFERON-TB® GOLD received FDA approval for the detection of latent TB. This test differs from the first-generation test in that instead of using PPD as the stimulus for interferon production, 2 antigens, ESAT-6 and CFP-10, are used. These antigens are present in *mycobacterium tuberculosis* but are not present in those exposed to BCG or non-tuberculous *mycobacteria*.

The QFT-GIT measures IFN-γ plasma concentration using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), has been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and has replaced the QuantiFERON-TB Gold (QFT-G) test (Lewinsohn et al., 2017).

The T-SPOT assay enumerates T cells releasing IFN-γ using an enzyme-linked immunospot (ELISPOT) assay. The T-SPOT.TB assay is currently available in Europe, Canada, and has been approved for use in the United States with revised criteria for test interpretation (Lewinsohn et al., 2017).

A search for “tuberculosis” on the FDA website on August 17, 2020, yielded 11 results. Additionally, many labs have developed specific tests that they must validate and perform in house. These laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) are regulated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) as high-complexity tests under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA ’88). As an LDT, the U. S. Food and Drug Administration has not approved or cleared this test; however, FDA clearance or approval is not currently required for clinical use.

VII. Applicable CPT/HCPCS Procedure Codes

Billing applicable codes is not a guarantee of payment; see Section III for indications and limitations of coverage that may affect payment

Code Number	Code Description
81099	Unlisted urinalysis procedure
81425	Genome (eg, unexplained constitutional or heritable disorder or syndrome); sequence analysis

81426	Genome (eg, unexplained constitutional or heritable disorder or syndrome); sequence analysis, each comparator genome (eg, parents, siblings) (list separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
81479	Unlisted molecular pathology procedure
82945	Glucose, body fluid, other than blood
83520	Immunoassay for analyte other than infectious agent antibody or infectious agent antigen;

	quantitative, not otherwise specified
83615	Lactate dehydrogenase (LD), (LDH)
84157	Protein, total, except by refractometry; other source (eg, synovial fluid, cerebrospinal fluid)
84311	Spectrophotometry, analyte not elsewhere specified
86480	Tuberculosis test, cell mediated immunity antigen response measurement; gamma interferon
86481	Tuberculosis test, cell mediated immunity antigen response measurement; enumeration of gamma interferon-producing T-cells in cell suspension

87070	Culture, bacterial; any other source except urine, blood or stool, aerobic, with isolation and presumptive identification of isolates
87077	Culture, bacterial; aerobic isolate, additional methods required for definitive identification, each isolate
87116	Culture, tubercle or other acid-fast bacilli (eg, TB, AFB, mycobacteria) any source, with isolation and presumptive identification of isolates
87149	Culture, typing; identification by nucleic acid (DNA or RNA) probe, direct probe technique, per culture or isolate, each organism probed
87150	Culture, typing; identification by nucleic acid (DNA or RNA) probe, amplified probe technique, per culture or isolate, each organism probed

87153	Culture, typing; identification by nucleic acid sequencing method, each isolate (eg, sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene)
87181	Susceptibility studies, antimicrobial agent; agar dilution method, per agent (eg, antibiotic gradient strip)

87184	Susceptibility studies, antimicrobial agent; disk method, per plate (12 or fewer agents)
87185	Susceptibility studies, antimicrobial agent; enzyme detection (eg, beta lactamase), per enzyme
87186	Susceptibility studies, antimicrobial agent; microdilution or agar dilution (minimum inhibitory concentration [MIC] or breakpoint), each multiantimicrobial, per plate
87187	Susceptibility studies, antimicrobial agent; microdilution or agar dilution, minimum lethal concentration (MLC), each plate (list separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
87188	Susceptibility studies, antimicrobial agent; macrobroth dilution method, each agent
87190	Susceptibility studies, antimicrobial agent; mycobacteria, proportion method, each agent
87206	Smear, primary source with interpretation; fluorescent and/or acid fast stain for bacteria, fungi, parasites, viruses or cell types
87550	Infectious agent detection by nucleic acid (DNA or RNA); Mycobacteria species, direct probe technique

87551	Infectious agent detection by nucleic acid (DNA or RNA); Mycobacteria species, amplified probe technique
87552	Infectious agent detection by nucleic acid (DNA or RNA); Mycobacteria species, quantification
87555	Infectious agent detection by nucleic acid (DNA or RNA); Mycobacteria tuberculosis, direct probe technique
87556	Infectious agent detection by nucleic acid (DNA or RNA); Mycobacteria tuberculosis, amplified probe technique
87557	Infectious agent detection by nucleic acid (DNA or RNA); mycobacteria tuberculosis, quantification
87560	Infectious agent detection by nucleic acid (DNA or RNA); mycobacteria avium-intracellulare, direct probe technique
87561	Infectious agent detection by nucleic acid (DNA or RNA); mycobacteria avium-intracellulare, amplified probe technique
87562	Infectious agent detection by nucleic acid (DNA or RNA); mycobacteria avium-intracellulare, quantification

Current Procedural Terminology© American Medical Association. All Rights reserved.

VIII. Evidence-based Scientific References

- Adams, S., Ehrlich, R., Baatjies, R., Dendukuri, N., Wang, Z., & Dheda, K. (2019). Evaluating Latent Tuberculosis Infection Test Performance Using Latent Class Analysis in a TB and HIV Endemic Setting. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, *16*(16). doi:10.3390/ijerph16162912
- ATS. (2000). Targeted tuberculin testing and treatment of latent tuberculosis infection. This official statement of the American Thoracic Society was adopted by the ATS Board of Directors, July 1999. This is a Joint Statement of the American Thoracic Society (ATS) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). This statement was endorsed by the Council of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. (IDSA), September 1999, and the sections of this statement. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*, *161*(4 Pt 2), S221-247. doi:10.1164/ajrccm.161.supplement_3.ats600
- Auguste, P., Tsertsvadze, A., Pink, J., Court, R., McCarthy, N., Sutcliffe, P., & Clarke, A. (2017). Comparing interferon-gamma release assays with tuberculin skin test for identifying latent tuberculosis infection that progresses to active tuberculosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Infect Dis*, *17*(1), 200. doi:10.1186/s12879-017-2301-4
- Barry, C. E., 3rd, Boshoff, H. I., Dartois, V., Dick, T., Ehrt, S., Flynn, J., . . . Young, D. (2009). The spectrum of latent tuberculosis: rethinking the biology and intervention strategies. *Nat Rev Microbiol*, *7*(12), 845-855. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2236
- Bernardo, J. (2019). Diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis in adults - UpToDate. In E. Baron (Ed.), *UpToDate*. Retrieved from https://www.uptodate.com/contents/diagnosis-of-pulmonarytuberculosis-in-adults?search=tuberculosis&source=search_result&selectedTitle=2~150&usage_type=default&display_rank=2#H751697780
- Bibbins-Domingo, K., Grossman, D. C., Curry, S. J., Bauman, L., Davidson, K. W., Epling, J. W., Jr., . . . Pignone, M. P. (2016). Screening for Latent Tuberculosis Infection in Adults: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. *Jama*, *316*(9), 962-969. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.11046
- Bourgi, K., Patel, J., Samuel, L., Kieca, A., Johnson, L., & Alangaden, G. (2017). Clinical Impact of Nucleic Acid Amplification Testing in the Diagnosis of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis: A 10-Year Longitudinal Study. *Open Forum Infect Dis*, *4*(2), ofx045. doi:10.1093/ofid/ofx045
- CDC. (2009). Updated guidelines for the use of nucleic acid amplification tests in the diagnosis of tuberculosis. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, *58*(1), 7-10. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/>
- Cheng, V. C., Yew, W. W., & Yuen, K. Y. (2005). Molecular diagnostics in tuberculosis. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*, *24*(11), 711-720. doi:10.1007/s10096-005-0039-1
- Cruciani, M., Scarparo, C., Malena, M., Bosco, O., Serpelloni, G., & Mengoli, C. (2004). Meta-analysis of BACTEC MGIT 960 and BACTEC 460 TB, with or without solid media, for detection of mycobacteria. *J Clin Microbiol*, *42*(5), 2321-2325. Retrieved from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC404614/>
- Daniel, T. M. (1980). The immunology of tuberculosis. *Clin Chest Med*, *1*(2), 189-201. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/>
- De Groote, M. A., Sterling, D. G., Hraha, T., Russell, T. M., Green, L. S., Wall, K., . . . Ochsner, U. A. (2017). Discovery and Validation of a Six-Marker Serum Protein Signature for the Diagnosis of Active Pulmonary Tuberculosis. *J Clin Microbiol*, *55*(10), 3057-3071. doi:10.1128/jcm.00467-17
- Dheda, K., Gumbo, T., Gandhi, N. R., Murray, M., Theron, G., Udwadia, Z., . . . Warren, R. (2014). Global control of tuberculosis: from extensively drug-resistant to untreatable tuberculosis. *Lancet*

- Respir Med*, 2(4), 321-338. doi:10.1016/s2213-2600(14)70031-1
- Dheda, K., Schwander, S. K., Zhu, B., van Zyl-Smit, R. N., & Zhang, Y. (2010). The immunology of tuberculosis: from bench to bedside. *Respirology*, 15(3), 433-450. doi:10.1111/j.14401843.2010.01739.x
- Diel, R., Loddenkemper, R., & Nienhaus, A. (2012). Predictive value of interferon-gamma release assays and tuberculin skin testing for progression from latent TB infection to disease state: a metaanalysis. *Chest*, 142(1), 63-75. doi:10.1378/chest.11-3157
- Domínguez, J., Boettger, E. C., Cirillo, D., Cobelens, F., Eisenach, K. D., Gagneux, S., . . . networks, R. -T. (2016). Clinical implications of molecular drug resistance testing for Mycobacterium tuberculosis: a TBNET/RESIST-TB consensus statement. *The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*, 20(1), 24-42. doi:10.5588/ijtld.15.0221
- ERS/ECDC. (2017). ERS/ECDC Statement: European Union Standards for Tuberculosis Care - 2017 update Retrieved from <https://erj.ersjournals.com/content/erj/early/2018/04/05/13993003.026782017.full.pdf>
- Fenton, M. J., Vermeulen, M. W., Kim, S., Burdick, M., Strieter, R. M., & Kornfeld, H. (1997). Induction of gamma interferon production in human alveolar macrophages by Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Infect Immun*, 65(12), 5149-5156. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/>
- Francis, J., Seiler, R. J., Wilkie, I. W., O'Boyle, D., Lumsden, M. J., & Frost, A. J. (1978). The sensitivity and specificity of various tuberculin tests using bovine PPD and other tuberculins. *Vet Rec*, 103(19), 420-425. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/>
- Gordin, F., & Slutkin, G. (1990). The validity of acid-fast smears in the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis. *Arch Pathol Lab Med*, 114(10), 1025-1027. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/>
- Greco, S., Girardi, E., Navarra, A., & Saltini, C. (2006). Current evidence on diagnostic accuracy of commercially based nucleic acid amplification tests for the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis. *Thorax*, 61(9), 783-790. doi:10.1136/thx.2005.054908
- Gupta-Wright, A., Corbett, E. L., van Oosterhout, J. J., Wilson, D., Grint, D., Alufandika-Moyo, M., . . . Fielding, K. (2018). Rapid urine-based screening for tuberculosis in HIV-positive patients admitted to hospital in Africa (STAMP): a pragmatic, multicentre, parallel-group, double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*, 392(10144), 292-301. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(18)31267-4
- Heemskerk, D., Caws, M., Marais, B., & Farrar, J. (2015). Clinical Manifestations. In *Tuberculosis in Adults and Children*: Springer.
- Heyckendorf, J., Andres, S., Köser, C. U., Olaru, I. D., Schön, T., Sturegård, E., . . . Merker, M. (2018). What Is Resistance? Impact of Phenotypic versus Molecular Drug Resistance Testing on Therapy for Multi- and Extensively Drug-Resistant Tuberculosis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 62(2). doi:10.1128/aac.01550-17
- Institute, C. a. L. S. (2018). Laboratory Detection and Identification of Mycobacteria, 2nd Edition. In *M48*. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- Katial, R. K., Hershey, J., Purohit-Seth, T., Belisle, J. T., Brennan, P. J., Spencer, J. S., & Engler, R. J. M. (2001). Cell-Mediated Immune Response to Tuberculosis Antigens: Comparison of Skin Testing and Measurement of In Vitro Gamma Interferon Production in Whole-Blood Culture. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol*, 8(2), 339-345. doi:10.1128/cdli.8.2.339-345.2001
- Landry, J., & Menzies, D. (2008). Preventive chemotherapy. Where has it got us? Where to go next? *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*, 12(12), 1352-1364. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/>
- Lein, A. D., & Von Reyn, C. F. (1997). In vitro cellular and cytokine responses to mycobacterial antigens: application to diagnosis of tuberculosis infection and assessment of response to mycobacterial vaccines. *Am J Med Sci*, 313(6), 364-371. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/>

- Lewinsohn, D. M., Leonard, M. K., LoBue, P. A., Cohn, D. L., Daley, C. L., Desmond, E., . . . Woods, G. L. (2017). Official American Thoracic Society/Infectious Diseases Society of America/Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Clinical Practice Guidelines: Diagnosis of Tuberculosis in Adults and Children. *Clin Infect Dis*, 64(2), 111-115. doi:10.1093/cid/ciw778
- Ling, D. I., Flores, L. L., Riley, L. W., & Pai, M. (2008). Commercial nucleic-acid amplification tests for diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis in respiratory specimens: meta-analysis and metaregression. *PLoS One*, 3(2), e1536. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001536
- Mase, S. R., Ramsay, A., Ng, V., Henry, M., Hopewell, P. C., Cunningham, J., . . . Pai, M. (2007). Yield of serial sputum specimen examinations in the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis: a systematic review. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*, 11(5), 485-495. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/>
- Menzies, D. (2019). Interferon-gamma release assays for diagnosis of latent tuberculosis infection - UpToDate. In E. Baron (Ed.), *UpToDate*. Retrieved from https://www.uptodate.com/contents/interferon-gamma-release-assays-for-diagnosis-of-latent-tuberculosis-infection?source=search_result&search=tb%20test&selectedTitle=2~150
- Menzies, D., Pai, M., & Comstock, G. (2007). Meta-analysis: new tests for the diagnosis of latent tuberculosis infection: areas of uncertainty and recommendations for research. *Ann Intern Med*, 146(5), 340-354. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/>
- Miller, J. M., Binnicker, M. J., Campbell, S., Carroll, K. C., Chapin, K. C., Gilligan, P. H., . . . Yao, J. D. (2018). A Guide to Utilization of the Microbiology Laboratory for Diagnosis of Infectious Diseases: 2018 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the American Society for Microbiology. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, ciy381-ciy381. doi:10.1093/cid/ciy381
- Nahid, P., Mase, S. R., Migliori, G. B., Sotgiu, G., Bothamley, G. H., Brozek, J. L., . . . Seaworth, B. (2019). Treatment of Drug-Resistant Tuberculosis. An Official ATS/CDC/ERS/IDSA Clinical Practice Guideline. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*, 200(10), e93-e142. doi:10.1164/rccm.201909-1874ST
- Nakiyingi, L., Moodley, V. M., Manabe, Y. C., Nicol, M. P., Holshouser, M., Armstrong, D. T., . . . Dorman, S. E. (2014). Diagnostic accuracy of a rapid urine lipoarabinomannan test for tuberculosis in HIV-infected adults. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 66(3), 270-279. doi:10.1097/qai.000000000000151
- Nasiri, M. J., Pormohammad, A., Goudarzi, H., Mardani, M., Zamani, S., Migliori, G. B., & Sotgiu, G. (2019). Latent tuberculosis infection in transplant candidates: a systematic review and metaanalysis on TST and IGRAs. *Infection*, 47(3), 353-361. doi:10.1007/s15010-019-01285-7
- NICE. (2019). Tuberculosis. Retrieved from <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng33/chapter/Recommendations#latent-tb>
- NIH. (2019). Mycobacterium tuberculosis Infection and Disease. Retrieved from <https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines/html/4/adult-and-adolescent-oi-prevention-and-treatmentguidelines/325/tb>
- Pai, M., Denkinger, C. M., Kik, S. V., Rangaka, M. X., Zwerling, A., Oxlade, O., . . . Banaei, N. (2014). Gamma interferon release assays for detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev*, 27(1), 3-20. doi:10.1128/cmr.00034-13
- Pai, M., Flores, L. L., Hubbard, A., Riley, L. W., & Colford, J. M., Jr. (2004). Nucleic acid amplification tests in the diagnosis of tuberculous pleuritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Infect Dis*, 4, 6. doi:10.1186/1471-2334-4-6
- Pai, M., Nicol, M. P., & Boehme, C. C. (2016). Tuberculosis Diagnostics: State of the Art and Future Directions. *Microbiol Spectr*, 4(5). doi:10.1128/microbiolspec.TBTB2-0019-2016
- Pediatrics, C. o. I. D. A. A. o. (2018). *Red Book® 2018*.

- Peto, H. M., Pratt, R. H., Harrington, T. A., LoBue, P. A., & Armstrong, L. R. (2009). Epidemiology of extrapulmonary tuberculosis in the United States, 1993-2006. *Clin Infect Dis*, 49(9), 1350-1357. doi:10.1086/605559
- RBS. (2015). TB Breathalyser - TB Breath Test. Retrieved from <http://www.rapidbiosensor.com/tbbreathalyser.asp>
- Ruan, Q., Zhang, S., Ai, J., Shao, L., & Zhang, W. (2016). Screening of latent tuberculosis infection by interferon-gamma release assays in rheumatic patients: a systemic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Rheumatol*, 35(2), 417-425. doi:10.1007/s10067-014-2817-6
- Shah, M., Hanrahan, C., Wang, Z. Y., Dendukuri, N., Lawn, S. D., Denking, C. M., & Steingart, K. R. (2016). Lateral flow urine lipoarabinomannan assay for detecting active tuberculosis in HIVpositive adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*(5), Cd011420. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD011420.pub2
- Shah, M., Martinson, N. A., Chaisson, R. E., Martin, D. J., Variava, E., & Dorman, S. E. (2010). Quantitative analysis of a urine-based assay for detection of lipoarabinomannan in patients with tuberculosis. *J Clin Microbiol*, 48(8), 2972-2974. doi:10.1128/jcm.00363-10
- Snider, D. E., Jr. (1982). The tuberculin skin test. *Am Rev Respir Dis*, 125(3 Pt 2), 108-118. doi:10.1164/arrd.1982.125.3P2.108
- Steingart, K. R., Henry, M., Ng, V., Hopewell, P. C., Ramsay, A., Cunningham, J., . . . Pai, M. (2006). Fluorescence versus conventional sputum smear microscopy for tuberculosis: a systematic review. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 6(9), 570-581. doi:10.1016/s1473-3099(06)70578-3
- Steingart, K. R., Ng, V., Henry, M., Hopewell, P. C., Ramsay, A., Cunningham, J., . . . Pai, M. (2006). Sputum processing methods to improve the sensitivity of smear microscopy for tuberculosis: a systematic review. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 6(10), 664-674. doi:10.1016/s1473-3099(06)70602-8
- Taylor, Z., Nolan, C. M., & Blumberg, H. M. (2005). Controlling tuberculosis in the United States. Recommendations from the American Thoracic Society, CDC, and the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *MMWR Recomm Rep*, 54(Rr-12), 1-81. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/>
- Ustinova, V. V., Smirnova, T. G., Sochivko, D. G., Varlamov, D. A., Larionova, E. E., Andreevskaya, S. N., . . . Ergeshov, A. (2019). New assay to diagnose and differentiate between Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex and nontuberculous mycobacteria. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)*, 114, 17-23. doi:10.1016/j.tube.2018.10.004
- WHO. (2015a). Guidelines on the Management of Latent Tuberculosis Infection. In: World Health Organization.
- WHO. (2015b). IMPLEMENTING TUBERCULOSIS DIAGNOSTICS. Retrieved from https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/162712/9789241508612_eng.pdf?sequence=1
- WHO. (2016). Global tuberculosis report 2016. WHO. doi:/entity/tb/publications/global_report/en/index.html
- WHO. (2018). Latent TB Infection : Updated and consolidated guidelines for programmatic management. WHO. Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/tb/publications/2018/latent-tuberculosisinfection/en/>
- WHO. (2019). Global tuberculosis report 2019. WHO. Retrieved from <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/329368/9789241565714-eng.pdf?ua=1>
- WHO. (2020a). WHO consolidated guidelines on tuberculosis Module 3: Diagnosis - Rapid diagnostics for tuberculosis detection. Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/whoconsolidated-guidelines-on-tuberculosis-module-3-diagnosis---rapid-diagnostics-for-tuberculosis-detection>

WHO. (2020b). WHO consolidated guidelines on tuberculosis: module 1: prevention: tuberculosis preventive treatment. Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-operational-handbook-on-tuberculosis-module-1-prevention-tuberculosis-preventive-treatment>

Woods, G. L., Lin, S.-Y. G., & Desmond, E. P. (2015). Susceptibility Test Methods: Mycobacteria, Nocardia, and Other Actinomycetes. In *Manual of Clinical Microbiology, Eleventh Edition*. Washington, DC: ASM.

Yajko, D. M., Nassos, P. S., Sanders, C. A., Madej, J. J., & Hadley, W. K. (1994). High predictive value of the acid-fast smear for Mycobacterium tuberculosis despite the high prevalence of Mycobacterium avium complex in respiratory specimens. *Clin Infect Dis*, 19(2), 334-336. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/>

Zürcher, K., Ballif, M., Fenner, L., Borrell, S., Keller, P. M., Gnokoro, J., . . . Egger, M. (2019). Drug susceptibility testing and mortality in patients treated for tuberculosis in high-burden countries: a multicentre cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 19(3), 298-307. doi:10.1016/s14733099(18)30673-x

IX. Revision History

Revision Date	Summary of Changes
06-01-2021	Initial presentation